

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/846,499	05/02/2001	John M. Belcea	1710.025	5215
7590 06/02/2005 ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO& GOODMAN, LLP			EXAMINER	
			SAM, PHIRIN	
1300 19th Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
0 ,			2661	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/846,499	BELCEA, JOHN M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phirin Sam	2661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 May 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 52-58 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 52-54 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 55-58 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the documents have been received	on No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Din					
Attachment(s) PHIRIN SAM 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) PRIMARY EXAMINER 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>040703</u>. 	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P. 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/846,499

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 55-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,192,230 (hereinafter referred as "Van Bokhorst") in view of US Patent 6,795,407 (hereinafter referred as "Chesson").

Van Bokhorst discloses the invention (claims 55-58) as claimed including a method of reducing the energy consumption in an ad-hoc, peer-to-peer radio system (see Fig. 8, abstract, col. 4, lines 27-33, col. 7, lines 15-24) comprising a series of radio terminals (see Fig. 8), each said radio terminal comprising transceiver means for transmitting and receiving signals from other like terminals of said series of terminals, computer means, and memory means for storing program software means therein (see Fig. 2, element 30, 34, and 36), said radio system based on time-dependent messaging having multiple parallel data channels and a control channel, the method comprising:

(a) controlling the power of transmission of each said radio terminal of a service group of said terminals (see Fig. 1 and 8, col. 2, lines 7-25);

Van Bokhorst does not disclose creating a relatively stable power-level state wherein each terminal of said plurality of terminals stabilizes at a power level reflective of the relative path loss between it and other terminals of said permanent link. However, Chesson discloses

Page 3

Art Unit: 2661

creating a relatively stable power-level state wherein each terminal of said plurality of terminals stabilizes at a power level reflective of the relative path loss between it and other terminals of said permanent link (see Fig. 1, col. 8, lines 1-67). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the creation the relatively stable power level state teaching by Chesson with Bokhorst. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide to reduce the packet retransmission by interpolating to recover lost packets rather than retransmission read on the abstract. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Chesson and Bokhorst to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 55.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 52-54 are allowed.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (1) US Patent 6,594,273 (McGibney) discloses self-configuring radio network.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phirin Sam whose telephone number is (571) 272-3082. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:00AM 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272 - 3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,499 Page 4

Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 27, 2005

PHIRIN SAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER